

# XXXV TECMUN

---

# Official Protocol

**XXXV TECMUN  
OFFICIAL PROTOCOL**

---

**INDEX**

1. General structure

1.1 Google Classroom

2. Debate initiation

2.1 Opening of the session

2.2 Topic establishment

2.3 Speaker's list

3. Debate development

4. Voting procedure

4.1 Exceptions to the voting procedure

5. Motions

5.1 Motion to open Topic A/B

5.2 Motion to open a Speaker's List

5.3 Motion to open a Moderated Caucus

5.4 Motion to open a Simple Caucus

5.5 Motion to open an Extraordinary Session of Questions

5.6 Motion to introduce a Possible Working Paper

5.7 Motion to introduce a Draft Resolution

5.9 Motion to introduce Amnesty

5.10 Complementary motions

5.10.1 Motion to close the session

5.10.2 Motion to postpone the debate

5.10.3 Motion to establish a permanent member meeting

6. Points

6.1 Point of Order

6.2 Point of Personal Privilege

6.3 Point of Parliamentary Inquiry

6.4 Point of Information

7. Rights

7.1 Right of Reply

7.2 Right of Explanation

7.3 Right of Amendment

7.4 Right of Veto

8. Specifications

8.1 Delegations

8.2 Observers

- 8.3 Official working language
- 8.4 Diplomatic notes
- 8.5 Warnings

9. Resolution projects

- 9.1 Working Paper
- 9.2 Resolution

10. Warfare Protocol

- 10.1 State of Warfare declaration
- 10.2 Conclusion of the State of Warfare and Amnesty
- 10.3 State of Warfare follow-through

## **1. General structure**

The committees and organizations simulated in the debate forums of TECMUN represent organisms set on the optimization of the quality of life on various aspects world-wide, ranging from social and humanitarian-themed forums to those specialized in international security and criminal justice. Therefore, diplomacy is the main factor needed to address the previous topics within the debate forums.

To maintain the professionalism of the procedures, all points must be referred to the direct authority of each committee, which is, in the first instance, the president. The president acts as the representative of the Under-Secretary-General and the Secretary-General within the committee whenever these authorities are not present, and, as such, has the last word regarding decisions of the procedures of the committees and the development of the debate. If these decisions present a contradiction of any of the rules established in this protocol, they can be challenged by a *Point of order* (6.1).

In case the problem does not fall within the previously stated conditions, it can only be addressed through a direct conversation between the delegate-in-question's Faculty Advisor and the President of the committee, or with the designated Under-Secretary-General or Secretary-General, in between-session time.

All delegates must comply with the due diplomacy throughout the debate. Those who do not belong to a committee in the official status as a judge, minister, ambassador, or prosecutor must speak in the third person when announcing the posture of the countries or organisms they represent.

All delegates have the right to participate in the debate as long as the adequate procedures are followed. All interventions must be regulated by the moderator and the president of the committee. For intervention in the debate to be considered by the Chair and the committee, the delegate must raise his nameplate to be yielded the floor. During the pronouncement of interventions, delegates must stay standing –unless the Chair stipulates differently–, otherwise, the intervention is automatically canceled.

### ***1.1 Google Classroom***

Google Classroom is an online platform in which delegates will be handing position papers before the model so that the Chair may revise them. This platform will serve as an intermediary on the creation of all Working and Resolution Papers and any other means the Chair considers necessary.

To join the Google Classroom class, delegates and/or schools will be receiving in their registry confirmation mail the codes to join each committee's class through their e-mail accounts.

## **2. Beginning of the debate**

### ***2.1 Opening of the session***

The session can only be opened by the committee's Chair, the corresponding Under-Secretary-General, or by the Secretary-General, and no other procedure can take place before this step.

At the beginning of each session, an individual roll call must be carried out to each delegation of the committee, to what the representing *delegate* should respond with «*Present and voting*» in the given case of being a member country of the organism that is being represented by the committee. Otherwise, the delegate should respond with «*Present*». Once the roll call has finished, the delegations that were absent should remain as observers without participating at all during the debate.

In the Security Council, the five permanent members (United States of America, Russian Federation, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, French Republic, and the People's Republic of China) should be present so that the session can be continued once it has been opened. In the given case that one of them is absent, the Chair can ask the committee for one of the delegates to temporarily or permanently occupy the place.

Once all said conditions have been met, the session can be formally opened.

## **2.2 Topic selection**

At the beginning of the first session, the only motion that can be established is a *Motion to open topic A/B (5.1)*, and all the procedures should be carried out according to the specifications of said motion. There are two topic options per committee, which are previously announced by the Chair, and are fully invariable and definitive. Each committee should debate at least one of the topic options, determined by the described voting in the motion's procedure. The remaining topic is only debated once that an *Official Resolution Paper (9.2)* of the first topic is reached.

## **2.3 Speaker's List**

The Speaker's List is the base for the development of the debate and a space for the exposition of each delegation's point of view for a determined time. The Speaker's List consists of the order in which the delegations expose their arguments about a matter related to the debated topic. Its order is definitive and it is the only procedure that is automatically closed until the resolution of the topic. The introduction of the Speaker's List should be made at the beginning of any topic's debate and according to what the *Motions to open The Speaker's List (5.2)* points out.

Each time that the floor is opened and no other motion is established, the debate proceeds with the next speaker on the list. In the given case that a delegate is not present at the session at the moment of his or her turn during the Speaker's List, the place is yielded to the next delegations and the missing delegation should be reassigned to the end of the list.

When a delegate does not use the established time in its totality, he or she can yield it to different purposes:

1. **Comments:** the delegate grants the remaining time to general comments. Every delegation who desires to do so can ask the Chair for the word to establish a comment that refers to the yielding delegate's declaration. Any comments can be made during the yielded time. For each one of the comments, a *point of information (6.4)* should be established once the Chair has granted the word. In the given case that there are no comments, the delegation can take its seat when the Chair indicates it.

2. **Questions:** the delegate yields the remaining time to simple questions (no preamble or follow-up questions are permitted). The delegate who yielded his or her time is bound to answer the question if it counts with the formality standards that the Chair determines, or else a *warning* (8.5) will be granted. For each of the questions, a *point of information* (6.4) should be established once the Chair has granted the word. Any questions can be made and answered during the yielded time. In the given case that there are no questions, the delegation can take its seat when the Chair indicates it.
3. **To the Chair:** the delegate yields the remaining time to the Chair's consideration. In this case, the Chair decides if the time should be used for comment, questions, or allow the delegate in question to take its seat.
4. **To another delegation:** in the given case that a delegation desires to do so, he or she can yield his or her remaining time for another delegation to make use of it. The delegation that has been yielded the time is asked if he or she accepts the granted time; in the given case that he or she does not accept, the delegate who yielded the time will be granted with a *warning* (8.5). If he or she accepts the time, the delegate should make complete use of it and it is not permitted for him or her to yield the time again to any purpose.

### 3. Debate development

Once the topic to be debated and the order of the Speaker's List have been established, the committee must proceed with the formal debate procedures until the approval of an *Official Resolution Paper* (9.2) is reached. The debate shall only be interrupted by the means of a *Motion to postpone the debate* (5.10.2) or a *Motion to close the session* (5.10.1), and in both cases must be resumed according to the procedures stipulated by the chair and the hereby established protocol.

The general structure of the debate is based off the Speaker's List, which is when all delegates must express their position before the committee; however, the Speaker's List can be interrupted via the introduction of motions, points, and rights, as well as the procedure that corresponds to each of them.

If the debate concludes via an *Official Resolution Paper* (9.2), it is possible to open the alternative topic and carry on with its respective debate in the same manner as the first.

### 4. Voting procedure

All motions and procedures that are submitted to voting as established by the aforementioned protocol can only be considered in order if they count with a simple majority consistent of 50% + 1 (fifty percent of the committee plus one vote), being the only voting options in favor, against, and abstentions –excluding exceptions. In case of not counting with said requirement, the motion does not proceed and cannot be proposed again immediately. The voting procedure can only be repeated in case not all delegates participated.

The only delegates that can participate in the voting procedure are those that are a part of the committee. In the case of the International Court of Justice, only the Juridical Division has the right to vote. In the said case, the votes can be either carried out in a group or individually as determined by the Chair.

For logistical reasons, abstentions are considered as votes favoring the final result, whether in favor or against. The said principle is only fulfilled if the results of the voting procedure are uneven. If the results turn out equal, they will be automatically deemed negative since the simple majority principle is not satisfied.

#### ***4.1 Exceptions to the voting procedure***

1. In the Security Council, it is required that the five permanent members (United States of America, Russian Federation, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, French Republic and the People's Republic of China) vote in favor of a motion for it to pass, in addition to the simple majority principle.
2. Resolution projects are voted on differently, established in *Resolution projects (9)*
3. There can be no abstentions in the *Motion to open topic A/B (5.1)*, and only those motions and procedures hereby established can be not voted on to be approved.

### **5. Motions**

To make any motion, the delegate must raise its placard and another delegation must second it by doing the same action.

#### ***5.1 Motion to open topic A/B***

This motion can be established immediately after the beginning of the opening session, or, in any case, after the closing of either topic if the Chair deems it in order. The delegation that proposes the motion must specify which of the two topics is desired to be opened and will be considered as the first delegation to speak on the floor in favor of opening said topic; he who seconded the motion must also speak in favor of the topic.

Previous to the voting procedure for opening a topic, four delegations must speak on the floor against and in favor of opening the proposed topic. Said procedure is carried out as follows:

1. The delegation that proposed the topic;
2. The first delegation against the opening of the topic;
3. The delegation that seconded the motion;
4. The second delegation against the opening of the topic.

The objective of these interventions is increasing and highlighting the reasons why the topic should or should not be opened and convincing the rest of the committee about it. During this procedure, participating delegates cannot refer to a topic other than whichever one is being voted upon. The importance of either topic cannot be questioned. There is no time limit for this procedure unless established by the Chair.

After the presentation of arguments, the committee shall move on to the voting procedure. There are only two possible votes for this particular procedure; in favor and against. If the motion does not count with a sufficient amount of votes, then the Chair will proceed to open the topic that was not proposed.

In case no delegation seconds the motion, then the other topic is opened. On the other hand, if no delegation agrees to speak against the opening of the topic, the decision will be considered unanimous and the proposed topic will be opened.

### ***5.2 Motion to open a Speaker's List***

This motion must be proposed at the beginning of a debate, and in case a second topic is opened, must be proposed again. The order of the Speaker's List must be defined at the beginning of the debate once this motion has been voted upon. The first speaker is always the delegate who proposed the motion, followed by the delegate who seconded it, and, later, the Chair should be let known of any delegations that would like to be added to the list whether via a diplomatic note or by participating when the delegates are asked.

The time of the Speaker's List must be set by the delegate that proposes the motion; however, the Chair has the total faculty of setting a different time extension. Said extension can also be modified via the proposal of a ***Motion to Modify the time of the Speaker's List***; which will have to be seconded and voted on once again. This motion cannot be proposed immediately after the time of the Speaker's List has been set, and the Chair can consider it out of order under any circumstance.

Once the Speaker's List has been set, the debate must proceed as stipulated in point 2.3.

### ***5.3 Motion to open a Moderated Caucus***

The Moderated Caucus can only be established once that a certain number of delegates have spoken in the Speaker's List, or whenever the Chair deems it in order.

The Moderated Caucus is a freehand debate with no setlist of participants during which any delegation can participate immediately after he has been granted the word. The delegation proposes the motion has the right to make the first intervention during the caucus, however, the delegation that seconds the motion is not entitled to the same right unless the Chair grants it to the delegate as a courtesy. The Chair shall decide the following interventions according to the order in which the delegates raise their placard until the previously established time is up.

The delegation that proposed this motion must set the time of the Caucus, or, in any case, leave it to the Chair's judgment. The purpose of the Caucus should not be stated. The recommended time is approximately ten minutes, during which the interventions are expected to be short to allow a more diverse flow of ideas. During the Moderated Caucus, direct contact among delegates is prohibited and the environment of formality and respect must be maintained.

The Moderated Caucus can be extended given the proposal of a ***Motion to extend the time of the Moderated Caucus***, which must be seconded and voted on. For this motion to be considered suitable by the Chair, the time of the extension must be stated and said extension cannot be longer than the length of the original Moderated Caucus. There can only be one



extension per Caucus and no variations of this motion can be proposed immediately after the Caucus has concluded.

A Moderated Caucus may be granted an unlimited time extension in special cases such as during a *Motion to introduce a Possible Working Paper (5.6)*, a *Motion to introduce a Possible Resolution Paper (5.7)*, and in certain procedures established in the Official Protocol of the International Court of Justice. In these cases, a ***Motion to open a Moderated Caucus with an unlimited time extension*** may be proposed, which must be followed by the procedures established in said cases.

#### ***5.4 Motion to open a Simple Caucus***

A Simple Caucus can only be established once a certain number of delegates have spoken in the Speaker's List, and once that at least once Moderated Caucus has been opened, or else, whenever the Chair deems it appropriate.

The Simple Caucus is an unmoderated debate in which delegates are allowed to interact directly; however, all interactions must be carried out in the committee's official working language and a formal manner. The delegation that proposes this motion must also establish its time extension, or else, leave it to the Chair's consideration. The purpose of the Simple Caucus shall not be stated.

During Simple Caucus time, the committee's meeting must remain closed and there must not be any observers within the room. The objective of the Simple Caucus is to elaborate and writing proposals for the development of a solution to the topic in question. Delegates can also approach the chair to resolve inquiries regarding the protocol, the development of the debate, and the topics themselves.

The Simple Caucus can be extended via the proposal of a ***Motion to extend the time of the Simple Caucus***, which must be seconded and voted on. For this motion to be considered suitable by the Chair, the time of the extension must be stated and said extension cannot be longer than the length of the original Simple Caucus. There can only be one extension per Caucus and no variations of this motion can be proposed immediately after the Caucus or an extension has concluded.

During a Simple Caucus blocks of delegations might be made. These delegations will work together by their own choice according to their alliances, common interests as well as resolutions. The arrangement of said blocks will be decided by the committee's delegations with the Chair's advice. When the Chair deems appropriate it will request the agreed order of each block to be able to create a breakout room where delegates of each block will be able to communicate more privately with the supervision and advice from the Chair.

#### ***5.5 Motion to open an extraordinary session of questions***

An extraordinary session of questions can be proposed whenever it is desired to interrogate a delegation about its position or a statement that it has previously mentioned. The delegation that proposes the motion must specify the number of questions and to which delegate they are aimed. The number of questions allowed is five at most and one at least.

Once the motion has been proposed, the Chair must ask the delegate to which the questions are aimed whether it accepts or not. In case the motion is not accepted by the delegate, or if the said delegate is not present, then the motion cannot proceed. Once the motion is accepted, it must be seconded and voted on by the rest of the committee, regardless of the number of questions proposed.

The delegate that proposes the motion can only ask one question. In case the extraordinary session of questions is determined to be more than one question long, the delegation that proposed the motion must only ask the first question, leaving the second one to whoever seconded it. In case more than two questions are deemed in order, the Chair shall consult with the delegations in the room to establish the order in which they will ask the remaining questions. In case there are not enough delegates willing to ask all the questions, then the motion shall not proceed, unless it is re-established by the delegation that proposed it, with a ***Motion to change the extension of the extraordinary session of questions***, which must be seconded and voted.

If the motion passes, the delegate to which the questions are aimed must be located at the center of the forum. The questions are asked by the delegates in the order previously established by the Chair. In case a question does not comply with the formality standards dictated by the protocol, the delegation asking it shall be granted a *warning* (8.5). If the delegate to which the questions are aimed refuses to answer any of the questions, said delegate will be granted a warning.

Previous to the formulation of each question, a preamble may be established via a point of personal privilege. Preambles have the function of stating a context which may allow the delegation in question to further understand the upcoming interrogation. Preambles must be established shortly.

Per each answered question, the interrogating delegate is allowed to ask a *follow-up question*—also known simply as a *follow-up*—so long as the previous answer has not ended with a firm affirmation or negation. There can only be one follow-up question and it can only be established via a *point of personal privilege* (6.2). Follow-up questions are not considered among the five allowed questions.

The number of questions of the session can be extended given the proposal of a ***Motion to extend the extraordinary session of questions***; however, it must be seconded and voted on again. For the motion to be considered by the Chair, the delegation proposing it must establish the new amount of questions, which cannot be greater than the number asked in the previous session, nor equal to five. There can only be one extension and no variation of the motion can be proposed immediately upon the conclusion of the extraordinary session of questions or its extension.

An extraordinary session of questions may be granted an unlimited amount of questions in special cases such as a ***Motion to introduce a Possible Working Paper*** (5.6), a ***Motion to introduce a Possible Resolution Paper*** (5.7), and in certain procedures established in the Official Protocol of the International Criminal Court. In the said case, a ***Motion to open an unlimited extraordinary session of questions*** shall be deemed appropriate. Said motion must be seconded and voted on as well.

### ***5.6 Motion to introduce a Possible Working Paper***

This motion can only be established once a *Possible Working Paper (9.1)* counts with all the requirements to become an *Official Working Paper (9.1)* as stipulated by the Chair throughout the writing process. This motion allows for the formal introduction of a series of proposals developed by a group of delegates or by the committee as a whole. The motion can only be introduced and seconded by the writers of the Possible Working Paper.

Once the motion has been deemed in order by the Chair and seconded and voted on by all the delegations, the Possible Working Paper must be read before the entire committee. For the said procedure, up to three delegations, two of which must be the delegate that proposed the motion and the delegate that seconded it, must be located at the center of the forum to read it for the committee. The Chair has the faculty of choosing the third delegation that may support the reading. The reading of the Possible Working Paper must be carried out in a loud voice and with no verbal additions, with body gestures being limited to pointing at the proposals on the paper.

Once the reading of the Possible Working Paper has come to an end, the introduction of one of the following motions shall be deemed in order:

*Motion to introduce a Moderated Caucus with an unlimited time extension (5.3)*  
*Motion to open an unlimited extraordinary session of questions to the delegations that presented the Possible Working Paper (5.5)*

The main objective of both motions is further explaining the proposals and resolving any non-specified points within the Possible Working Paper. Once either of these two motions has concluded, the committee must move on to the voting procedure of the Possible Working Paper. If it is approved, it can be considered as an *Official Working Paper*, or must else remain as a Possible Working Paper which cannot be introduced again immediately.

### ***5.7 Motion to introduce a possible Resolution Paper***

This motion can only be established in presence of the Under-General-Secretary or the Secretary-General once a *Possible Resolution Paper (9.2)* counts with the requirements to become an *Official Resolution Paper (9.2)* as stipulated by the Chair throughout the writing procedure. This motion allows for the formal introduction of all proposals and Resolution Papers on one same document created by all the committees. The motion can only be introduced and seconded by the writers of the Possible Resolution Paper.

Once the motion has been deemed in order by the Chair and seconded and voted on by all the delegations, the Possible Resolution Paper must be read before the entire committee. For the said procedure, up to three delegations, two of which must be the delegate that proposed the motion and the delegate that seconded it, must be located at the center of the forum to read the document. The Chair has the faculty of choosing the third delegation that shall support to read the document. The reading of the Possible Resolution Paper must be carried out in a loud voice and with no verbal additions, with body gestures being limited to pointing at the proposals on the paper.

Once the reading of the Possible Resolution Paper has come to an end, the introduction of one of the following motions shall be deemed in order:

*Motion to introduce a Moderated Caucus with an unlimited time extension (5.3)*

*Motion to open an unlimited extraordinary session of questions (5.5)*

The main objective of both motions is further explaining the proposals and resolving any non-specified points within the Possible Resolution Paper. In the case of the unlimited extraordinary session of questions, the delegation to which the questions are aimed must be specified. Once either of these two motions has concluded, a *Right of Amendment (7.3)* can be introduced. Once all amendments have been made, the voting procedure of the Possible Resolution Paper can begin. The voting must be carried out on the projected document and with the presence of the corresponding Under-Secretary-General or the Secretary-General. The votes are established individually in the order established by the Chair. This voting procedure consists of three rounds during which the delegations can vote as follows:

**First round:**

- In favor
- Against
- Abstention
- Pass (The delegation abstains its vote until all others have voted, after which the vote must be carried out.)

**Second round:**

- In favor with a *right to explanation (7.2)*
- Against with a *right to explanation (7.2)*
- Abstention
- Pass (cannot apply if it has already been used in the first round)

**Third round:**

- In favor
- Against

The Chair must register the exact results of each round of voting; however, the final result is entirely based on the result of the third round. In case the Possible Resolution Paper is approved, it can be considered an Official Resolution Paper. Otherwise, it must remain a Possible Resolution Paper and it cannot be introduced again immediately.

**5.8 Motion to introduce a State of warfare**

This motion can only be established in the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and the Security Council, and the delegation or alliance that it is aimed at must be specified. Via this motion, a *state of warfare (10.1)* is introduced, during which an *Amnesty (10.2)* must be reached within the time set of 120 minutes. In case the ongoing session does not have enough time left when the state of warfare is introduced, the motion cannot pass given that a state of warfare cannot be interrupted. This motion can be ruled out of order by the Chair at any moment and requires the presence of the proper Under-Secretary-General or the Secretary-General to be deemed in order.

**5.9 Motion to introduce Amnesty**

This motion can only be established in the North Atlantic Treaty Organization or the Security Council when a *state of warfare (10.1)* has been declared. To introduce the *Amnesty (10.2)*, the state of warfare must be within the time set of 120 minutes for the resolution of the conflict in

the committee where the motion was introduced. Once the Chair deems the motion in order, it must be seconded and voted on to present the Amnesty and the corresponding *rights of amendment* (7.3).

### ***5.10 Complementary motions***

#### ***5.10.1 Motion to close the session***

This motion can only be established once the Chair has announced that it is in order. Through this motion, a session of the debate is considered over. Afterward, no motion, point, or right can be introduced until the opening of a new session. This motion is definitive and if considered in order by the Chair does not require to be voted on. In special cases, the Chair can declare a session over without the need for this motion to be introduced or previously suggested. An ongoing session cannot be closed in a time not dictated by the Agenda.

#### ***5.10.2 Motion to postpone the debate***

This motion can only be established once the Chair has announced it is in order and the time extension of it must be specified when it is proposed. By the means of this motion, an informal break within the debate can be opened without the session being declared as over.

During this time, the committee's meeting must remain closed and there shall be no observers left inside the meeting. The objective of this motion is to bring the debate and all protocol to a halt in the case of a special condition or emergency as determined by the Chair, as well as limiting the closing of a topic and the opening of another within one same session. In special cases, the Chair can declare the ceasing of all formal action of the debate without a need for the motion to be introduced or voted on.

#### ***5.10.3 Motion to convene a meeting of the permanent members***

This motion can only be established in the Security Council by one of the five permanent members (United States of America, Russian Federation, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, French Republic and People's Republic of China) once that a certain number of delegates has spoken in the Speaker's List and at least one Moderated Caucus has been opened.

The motion can only be seconded and voted on by the five permanent members and the vote must be unanimous to pass. In the said case, the five permanent members must abandon the meeting while accompanied by a member of the Chair to discuss situations regarding the debate in a breakout room. The debate within the meeting must remain the same for all other members of the Security Council.

## **6. Points**

### ***6.1 Point of order***

This point allows the delegate to point out an inadequate use of the protocol or the lack of its use whenever the Chair misses pointing it out. It can also be used whenever it is desired to point out a diplomatic error during the development of the debate, a lack of formality, or a mistake committed by the Chair which is not contemplated within the protocol.

This point can be established at any point in the debate, without the need of the Chair to open the floor. It can also be introduced during the speech of another delegation so long as it is of concern to it.

### ***6.2 Point of personal privilege***

This motion allows the delegation that proposes it to ask the Chair for permission regarding the debate or a personal situation. Permissions allowed within this point are those that may concern the entire committee or may simultaneously involve another delegation, such as asking for the reestablishment of a question or an answer, or the increase of the volume or the clarity of the delegate's voice. A more personal petition that requires more direct interaction with the Chair must be solicited via a *diplomatic note* (8.4) as opposed to a point of personal privilege.

During an *extraordinary session of questions* (5.5), this point must be established to make a preamble or a follow-up question. This point can be established at any point in the debate, without a need of the floor to have been opened by the chair or during the speech of another delegation so long as it is of concern to it.

### ***6.3 Point of Parliamentary Inquiry***

This point allows the delegate to ask a public question to the Chair, concerning the protocol, the development of the debate, or the ongoing session. This point can only be established whenever the floor is open.

### ***6.4 Point of information***

This point can only be established when another delegation yields its remaining time to comments or questions during its turn in the *Speaker's List* (2.3). This point allows for the formulation of the desired comment or simple question once the Chair has granted the delegate the right to talk.

## **7. Rights**

### ***7.1 Right of reply***

This right can be established in case a serious lack of diplomacy towards a delegation has been a reason for personal offense and a public apology is requested. The right of reply must be introduced via a *diplomatic note* (8.4) aimed at the Chair which specifies the accused delegation and the direct quote of what is considered offensive and the reasons why. If said conditions are not followed, the right of reply will not be valid.

This right can only be granted by the president of the committee, the corresponding Under-Secretary-General, or the General Secretary. In case the right is accepted, the Chair must announce the reasons to the committee and the accused delegation must offer a public apology related to the accusation with no explanation or justification of what has been previously said. If the accused delegate denies offering the apology, a *warning* (8.5) will be granted.

### ***7.2 Right of explanation***



This right can be established by any delegation during the second round of voting of a *Possible Resolution Paper (9.2)* to state before the entire committee the motives why said delegation's vote leans in favor or against the resolution. This right can be requested to the Chair immediately after the vote has been carried out, yet only during the delegation's voting turn. In case the turn passes, a right of explanation cannot be introduced anymore.

### ***7.3 Right of amendment***

This right can be established by any member of the committee during the presentation of any resolution project or an *Amnesty (10.2)* to make a change in the content, grammar, punctuation, or to point out a mistake that should be fixed in the given document. Established amendments can be voted on in case a meaningful modification is made, and if the Chair deems it in order.

### ***7.4 Right of veto***

This right can only be introduced by the five permanent members of the Security Council (United States of America, Russian Federation, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, French Republic, People's Republic of China) whenever the floor is open. The veto can forbid the participation of one of the non-permanent members of the session and cannot be ruled out of order by the Chair; however, it can only be used once within one same session. The delegation that introduces this right must explain the reasons why it wishes to carry it out before the entire committee. Given that this right is common for all permanent members, all of these must vote in favor of the application of it unanimously. In case the right is approved, the vetoed delegation must abandon the meeting and return until the following session. However, said delegation has the right of staying in the session as an observer.

This right can also be applied to veto a resolution project during its voting procedure and does not need to be voted on nor can it be ruled out of order by the Chair. A resolution project that has been vetoed cannot be presented again until the pertinent modifications have been made.

## **8. Specifications**

### ***8.1 Delegations***

The delegations are only assigned before the model, and the only changes allowed regarding the represented country, individual, or organism are those authorized by the corresponding Under-Secretary-General or the Secretary-General in case a delegation which proves to be essential to the debate is missing.

In case a delegation arrives at a session after the roll call, it can only be allowed into the committee room as an observer, and it shall not be able to take part in the debate in any way until the following session has begun or until the Chair deems its integration in order.

### ***8.2 Observers***

Observers are comprised of the advisors, teachers, tutors, guests, and delegates who are not a part of the ongoing session, so long as they count with visible identification. Observers cannot participate in the debate in any way nor can they come into direct contact with any of the

delegations. In the case of non-diplomatic behavior on their part, the president of the committee or any of the corresponding authorities has the right of suspending or vetoing the observer.

The admittance of observers into the committee's meeting must be limited when a *Simple Caucus (5.4)* or a *Motion to postpone the debate (5.10.2)* is introduced, and in such cases, all present observers must leave the meeting until the time extension stipulated by said protocols comes to an end.

### **8.3 Official working language**

The working languages used within the discussion forums are Spanish, English, and French, and they are independent of the official languages of the real-life committees or organizations. The language of a committee cannot change at any moment (unless as stipulated by the protocol of specialized committees such as the International Criminal Court). The official working language must be used during any procedure carried out by the committee, even in non-diplomatic ones.

### **8.4 Diplomatic notes**

Diplomatic notes are the only mean by which delegates can communicate with each other during a session, unless in time of a *Simple Caucus (5.4)*, a *Motion to postpone the debate (5.10.2)*, the particularities regarding a *Moderated Caucus (5.3)*, the direct interventions made during the *extraordinary session of questions (5.5)*, and the time yielded to comments or questions during the *Speaker's list (2.3)*.

Diplomatic notes can also be aimed at the Chair to address personal issues that can be omitted during a Point of personal privilege. All notes must be sent and approved by the Chair before proceeding to their respective addressee. The Chair reserves the right of retaining all notes that do not comply with the committee's standards of diplomacy.

### **8.5 Warnings**

Warnings are formal sanctions that can only be granted by the president of the committee. These are the consequence of extreme violations of the protocol, the committee's diplomacy, or the overall development of the model. Warnings can be granted by any of the following reasons:

1. The use of forbidden words or expressions during the session:  
«First world country, third world country, gay, lesbian, okay, war, rape, terrorism»  
\*Said principle is not valid in war and terrorism committees for the corresponding cases.
2. Lack of diplomacy.
3. Violation of the dress code.
4. Refusal to answer a question that has been previously accepted.
5. Refusal of the corresponding delegate to the yielded time during the *Speaker's list (2.3)*.
6. Continuous lack of the use of the third person.  
\*Said principle is not valid in committees in which the official status of a delegate is that of an ambassador, a minister, a judge, a specialist, or a prosecutor.
7. Intolerance aimed at the delegations, observers, authorities, or the Chair.



8. Usage of electronic devices during the session without prior authorization by the Chair.
9. Refusal to offer a public apology in case of a *Right of reply*.
10. Late arrival to any session or meeting.
11. Any other situation in which the Chair considers a warning might be suitable-given the proper explanation of it.

If a delegate has been granted one or two warnings, this must be considered as a cautionary measure; yet the delegation will still be eligible for any of the awards given out by the committee. However, if and when the second warning is granted, the delegate must leave the ongoing debate with the right of staying inside the committee meeting as an observer. In case three warnings are granted, the delegation will be immediately suspended from the model for the remaining sessions and will be deemed ineligible for any kind of award during the model. All questions regarding the granting of warnings can be discussed with the Chair, the corresponding Under-Secretary-General, or the Secretary-General, in case the advisor of the delegate in question wishes to do so.

## 9. Resolution projects

### 9.1 Working Paper

The first resolution project is the **Possible Working Paper**, which gathers all proposals that have been debated and agreed upon by the committee onto one single document. Each proposal of the Working Paper must be entirely developed and count with all standards stipulated by the Chair. This document must consist of a total of ten proposals established through the powers of the committee and accepted by the Chair. It can be written during a *Simple Caucus (5.4)* and presented via a *Motion to introduce a possible Working Paper (5.6)* so long as the respective procedures are followed. The Possible Working Paper can be elaborated and presented by a certain alliance of countries or by the entire committee. It is allowed for more than one Possible Working Paper to be introduced to become an Official Working Paper, so long as a *Possible Resolution Paper (9.2)* has not yet been introduced.

The **Official Working Paper** is the accepted version of the Possible Working Paper, which has been voted on and approved by the entire committee, and it is irrevocable. Once approved, it will be assigned an identification based on the topic in question and the number of Possible Working Papers that have been presented: « *Official Working Paper (A/B 1/2/3)* ».

### 9.2 Resolution Paper

The **Possible Resolution Paper** is the third resolution project, and it must count with all standards of quality stipulated by the Chair to become an *Official Resolution Paper*. The Possible Resolution Paper must incorporate all proposals of the *Official Working Paper (9.1)*, along with other proposals that may come up during its elaboration. It must also be written by all members of the committee. To be considered in order, the document must contain a minimum of fifteen proposals established through the powers of the committee and accepted by the Chair. It can be written during a *Simple Caucus (5.4)* and presented via a *Motion to introduce a possible Resolution Paper (5.6)* so long as the respective procedures are followed. In case the Possible Resolution Paper is accepted, it automatically becomes an Official Resolution Paper.

The *Official Resolution Paper* is the accepted version of the Possible Resolution Paper, which has been voted on and approved by the entire committee, and it is irrevocable. Once approved, it will be assigned an identification based on the topic in question and the number of Possible Resolution Papers that have been presented: «*Official Resolution Paper (A/B 1/2/3)*». If a committee reaches an Official Resolution Paper, the topic in question shall be closed and the following topic may be debated if the Chair deems so in order. An *Amnesty (10.2)* is also considered as an Official Resolution Paper.

## 10. Warfare protocol

The Security Council and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization are the only two committees with the faculty of allowing a state of warfare declaration. Said Declaration only fulfills the development of the debate, without having to necessarily represent a real Belic conflict.

### 10.1 Declaration of state of warfare

The declaration of a state of warfare must be carried out as established by the *Motion to introduce a state of warfare (5.8)*. The delegation or minister that declares the war against another delegate or alliance must present a written declaration in which the causes of the war are justified. The possible reasons for filing a declaration of warfare are the following:

1. Commits serious misconduct involving a direct attack on Human Rights.
2. Takes part in an offense of extraterritorial scope by interfering in the economic and social growth of a nation.
3. Has a history of hostile action against the accusatory delegation.
4. Breaks previously established agreements between delegations by showing a sudden change of posture that interferes with the resolution of the ongoing topic.
5. Actively participates and supports the cause, prevalence, and expansion of extremist groups.
6. Verbally expresses a direct attack with hostile terminology to another delegation.
7. Refers to historical political-ideological disputes between delegations.
8. Makes disrespectful comments or performs hateful actions towards cultural or religious elements to the accusing delegations.
9. Fails to comply with a verdict or judgment of the International Court of Justice.
10. Sends cyber-attacks.
11. Generates hate speech against any accusatory delegation or characteristics of the same.
12. Transgresses the Strategic Arms Reduction treaty and threatens the use of such resources.
13. Executes actions that threaten vulnerable climatic zones.
14. Violates any of the five core treaties of International Space Law.
15. Commits violations of principles or articles of the Geneva Conventions.

All presented reasons must be related to the topic open for debate, any motive that does not comply with these characteristics may be rejected by the Chair and must be replaced by one that meets with the requested requirements. The said document must be turned in to the Chair in the official working language of the committee and must be presented in the following format:

*“The delegation of (name of the accusing delegation), presents before the (name of the committee) a formal declaration of State of Warfare addressed to the delegation of (name of the accused delegation), supported by the following arguments:*

- Reason 1
- Reason 2
- Reason 3

*Seeking to maintain the principles of peace and security, the delegation urges the search for an immediate amnesty, under which the delegation of (name of the accused delegation) will abide by the international treaties to which it is a party as a member of the United Nations so that it will no longer represent a threat to international security. Therefore, the temporary delay of the topic to be discussed is requested until an agreement is reached with the accused delegation”.*

In case the declaration of the state of Warfare is accepted by the Chair, it must be read before the whole committee by the accusing delegation. From that moment on, the committee is officially in a state of warfare, and a *Motion to open a Moderated caucus with the time extension of twenty minutes* must be proposed, which shall then be followed by a *Motion to open a Simple caucus with the time extension of fifty minutes*. Only the Simple Caucus may be extended under the terms set forth in the *Motion to Extend a Simple caucus* (5.4) and may conclude without running out of time. During the Moderated Caucus, the committee must debate and reach an agreement regarding the state of warfare, while the time extension of the Simple Caucus is only destined for the elaboration of an *Amnesty* (10.2).

### ***10.2 Conclusion of the state of warfare and Amnesty***

Amnesty is the conclusion of the state of warfare, which must be reached via agreements and proposals between the members of the committee. Said document must include a total of ten proposals established through the powers of the committee and accepted by the Chair. It is to be introduced by a *Motion to introduce Amnesty* (5.9) once the time of the Moderated Caucus or Simple Caucus has been interrupted.

Once the motion is deemed in order by the Chair and it has been seconded and voted on by all delegations, the Amnesty must be read before the entire committee. For this procedure, it is allowed for up to three delegations to support with the reading of the document, two of which must be the delegate that proposed the motion and the delegate that seconded it; the third delegation may be chosen by the Chair. The reading of the Amnesty must be carried out in a loud voice and with no verbal additions, with body gestures being limited to pointing at the agreements on it.

Once the reading has concluded, a *Right of amendment* (7.3) can be introduced. Once all pertinent corrections have been made, the committee must proceed with the Amnesty’s voting procedure.

The voting must be carried out on the printed document and with the presence of the corresponding Under-Secretary-General or the Secretary-General. The votes are established individually in the order established by the Chair. This voting procedure consists of one single round in which the only options available are in favor of and against. In case one of the involved factions is not a part of the committee in question, it will be granted the right to vote only towards the amnesty.

If the Amnesty passes, it can be considered as a solution to the ongoing topic, which is why no further resolution projects are necessary and the debate may be declared as finalized. If the Amnesty does not obtain the necessary votes to be declared as official, the motion is

canceled and the document must return to be debated on, and it cannot be introduced again immediately without the corresponding modifications.

### ***10.3 State of warfare follow-through***

In case the committee does not finalize the debate via an approved Amnesty during the forty minutes of the state of warfare, the committee shall be declared incompetent, and the state of warfare must be transferred to another committee. A war started in the North Atlantic Treaty Organization must pass to the Security Council, whilst a war started in the Security Council must pass to the Plenary Session of the General Assembly. If the state of warfare is transferred to another committee, then an Amnesty will have to be reached by it under the same conditions as the original committee.

While a state of warfare is being discussed in a committee other than the one it originated in, the latter must continue the debate or the writing of an Amnesty. If an Amnesty is reached during the second period of the resolution of the state of warfare, then it must be voted on by the original committee. In case it is approved, the first committee's debate is declared as over, and the second committee may return to its corresponding debate. If the second committee is not able to reach an Amnesty within the stipulated time frame either, then it will also be declared incompetent.

If a state of warfare is transferred twice (from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization to the Security Council and then to the Plenary Session of the General Assembly), then it must be resolved in the same way in the Plenary Session of the General Assembly. In case a solution cannot be reached by said committee, it shall be declared as incompetent, and it will not be able to return to its respective debate without an Amnesty being approved in any of the previously mentioned committees. The only committee which cannot be declared incompetent is the Security Council, given that no organism follows it hierarchically.